

Before the
Copyright Royalty Board
United States Copyright Office
Washington, D.C. 20540

In the Matter of)
)
Distribution of DART Sound Recordings)
Fund Featured Recording Artists and)
Copyright Owners Subfunds Royalties for 2007) Docket No. _____

**SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR DISTRIBUTION OF THE 2007 DART
SOUND RECORDINGS FUND FEATURED RECORDING ARTISTS AND
COPYRIGHT OWNERS SUBFUNDS ROYALTIES**

The Alliance of Artists and Recording Companies (“AARC”) on behalf of itself and Tim Backer, Eric Burns, Edward Ray Fenner, Christopher D. Corso, Norton Records, Mick Taylor, Tony Pace, Ged Roman, Misha Williams, Zen Tricksters, Kettle Joe, The Process, Roots Revealers, Vaneese Thomas, VP Distributors, Inc., Rohan Shand, JC Records, Robert W. Boyle, Regina Westbrook, Richard Higginbotham, Denise Higginbotham, Melanie Higginbotham, Edie Hall, Ayanna Taylor, Delores Higginbotham, Keith Higginbotham, and Lamont Higginbotham (collectively referred to as the “Settling Parties”) submits this Supplemental Request For Distribution Of The 2007 DART Sound Recordings Fund Featured Recording Artists and Copyright Owners Subfunds portion of the additional 2007 DART royalties paid into the U.S. Copyright Office since the issuance of the Copyright Royalty Board (“CRB”) order granting the Settling Parties’ request for partial distribution. Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 2007 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-3 CRB DD 2007.

I. Background.

On September 15, 2008, AARC, on behalf of the Settling Parties, submitted a notice of settlement and request for partial distribution of the 2007 DART Sound Recordings Fund Featured Recording Artists and Copyright Owners Subfunds (“2007 Sound Recordings Fund”). Pursuant to section 801(b)(3)(A), the Settling Parties requested distribution of 98% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties, asserting that the remaining 2% would be sufficient to satisfy any claims of Matthew Primous and David Powell (collectively referred to as the “Non-Settling Parties”). 17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(A). The Settling Parties demonstrated with the use of SoundScan data, which has been routinely recognized as reliable record sales data in DART proceedings,¹ that the Non-Settling Parties, had no record sales in 2007 therefore the Settling Parties’ record sales represented 100% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties. *See* Seltzer Decl. Ex. A, July 25, 2008. On October 14, 2008, the CRB issued an order granting the Settling Parties’ request for distribution of 98% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund. Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 2007 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-3 CRB DD 2007. On November 12, 2008, pursuant to the CRB order, the Licensing Division of the U.S. Copyright Office distributed 98% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund to AARC on behalf of the Settling Parties. Since the issuance of the CRB’s partial distribution order and the Settling Parties’ receipt of the funds, the Copyright Office has received a significant back payment of nearly half of a million dollars in 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties.

¹ Distribution of 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 Digital Audio Recording Technology Royalties, 66 Fed. Reg. 9360, 9362 (Feb. 7, 2001); *see also* Distribution of 1992, 1993 and 1994 Musical Works Fund, 62 Fed. Reg. 6558, 6562 (Feb. 12, 1997)

II. Argument

The Settling Parties request a 100% distribution of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund portion of the back payments received by the Copyright Office since issuance of the CRB's partial distribution order. Sections 801(b)(3)(A) and 1007 (c) direct the CRB to order distribution of royalties, "to the extent that the Copyright Royalty Judges have found that the distribution of such fees is not subject to controversy." The Copyright Royalty and Distribution Reform Act ("CRDRA"), 17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(A) (2009); Audio Home Recording Act of 1992 ("AHRA"), 17 U.S.C. § 1007(c) (2006). In section 1007(c) of the AHRA, Congress specifically provided that even if there is a controversy, the CRB "shall, to the extent feasible, authorize the distribution of *any* amounts that are not in controversy." 17 U.S.C. § 1007(c) (2006) (emphasis added). Congress further emphasized its intent that royalties be distributed to interested copyright parties in a timely manner by amending section 801(b)(3) of the CRDRA "to mandate that the CRB make partial distribution during the pendency of a distribution proceeding." H.R. Rep. 108-408, at 23, (2004). This amendment not only required that the CRB grant partial distributions of funds that are not in controversy, but went on to mandate "that the CRJs make a partial distribution during the pendency of a distribution proceedings for the licenses..., whether [the funds] are in controversy or not, so long as all participants provide the CRJs with a signed written agreement obligating them to return any excess amounts necessary to comply with a final determination of a distribution proceeding." *Id.*² By repeatedly mandating partial distributions, Congress has recognized that there is

² Although the Settling Parties submit that they are entitled to these additional 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties because they are not in controversy, we are prepared to provide the CRB with a signed

often a “lengthy period of time between the payment of royalties by copyright users and the conclusion of the distribution proceedings,” which can burden interested copyright parties. *Id.* Avoiding delays in the distribution of uncontested royalties to parties who, like the Settling Parties, have negotiated in good faith to avoid litigation conforms to both the letter and the spirit of the AHRA and CRDRA.

Notably, in keeping with Congressional intent, the CRB has historically awarded distributions of the full amount of Sound Recordings Funds and Musical Works Funds not in controversy.³ The CRB’s predecessors, the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (“CARP”) and the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (“CRT”), also regularly awarded full distributions of uncontested royalties, expediting the distribution to the interested copyright parties who were entitled to them.⁴

Here, a full distribution of these additional payments is warranted because the CRB has already issued a final determination as to what portion of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties remain in controversy. Specifically, the CRB granted the Settling Parties’ request for 98% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund because it was settled. By granting the Settling Parties’ motion, the CRB determined that the remaining 2% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund deposited with the Copyright Office at that time

agreement obligating us to return any excess amounts necessary to comply with a final determination of a distribution proceeding should the CRB determine that one is necessary.

³ Order, In the Matter of Distribution of 2008 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2009-3 CRB DD 2008 (August 19, 2009); Order, In the Matter of Distribution of 2007 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-3 CRB DD 2007 (October 14, 2008).


⁴ *See e.g.*, Distribution of 1995, 1996, 1997 and 1998 Digital Audio Recording Technology Royalties, 66 Fed. Reg. 9360, 9365 (Feb. 7, 2001); Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 1998 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 99-3 CARP DD 95-98 (Dec. 22, 1999); Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 1996 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 98-2 CARP CD 96 (Oct. 8, 1998); Order, In The Matter of Distribution of the 1995 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 97-2 CARP CD 95 (Oct. 20, 1997); Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 1994 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 96 CARP CD 94 (Oct. 23, 1996); Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 1992 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 94 CARP CD 92 (Sept. 12, 1994); Order, In The Matter of Distribution of the 1993 Cable Royalty Fund, Docket No. 94 CARP CD 993 (Sept. 24, 1994).

would be sufficient to satisfy the claims of the Non-Settling Parties therefore this 2% is the amount that remains in controversy. Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 2007 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-3 CRB DD 2007. Since the CRB has determined that 2% of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund royalties deposited with the Copyright Office on October 14, 2008 are sufficient to cover any claims of the Non-Settling Parties, any additional 2007 payments deposited with the Copyright Office after that date are not in controversy therefore should be distributed in accordance with the CRB's October 14, 2008 Order, AHRA and CRDRA. Order, In the Matter of Distribution of the 2007 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-3 CRB DD 2007; 17 U.S.C. § 1007(c) (2006); 17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(A) (2009).

III. Conclusion

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, the Settling Parties respectfully request that pursuant to its Order of October 14, 2008, the Copyright Royalty Board distribute to AARC, as a common agent for the Settling Parties under 17 U.S.C. § 1007(a)(2) (2006), the 2007 DART Sound Recordings Fund Featured Recording Artists and Copyright Owners Subfunds royalties paid into the Copyright Office since the November 12, 2008 partial distribution.

Respectfully submitted,
On Behalf Of The Settling Parties

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Linda R. Bocchi". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "L".

Linda R. Bocchi, Esq.

DC BAR # 338012

VA BAR # 77599

Executive Director

Alliance of Artists and Recording
Companies

700 N. Fairfax Street, Suite 601

Alexandria, VA 22314

(703) 535-8101 (phone)

(703) 535-8105 (facsimile)

February 17, 2012

EXHIBIT A

DECLARATION OF RICHARD SELTZER

I, Richard Seltzer, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:


1. I am a Professor of Political Science at Howard University, and I currently teach two advanced graduate courses in statistics and research methods and previously taught courses in statistics and survey techniques. I have also designed and conducted surveys for over twenty years. I have previously been qualified as an expert in statistics and survey research in several federal and state courts. In addition to my teaching responsibilities, I have acted as a consultant to a variety of organizations in creating and maintaining complex databases. In conjunction with one of these clients – the Alliance of Artists and Recording Companies (“AARC”) – I have become familiar with SoundScan sound recordings sales data.
2. I confirm that AARC’s Royalty Distribution System uses SoundScan data to determine the annual allocation of Sound Recordings Fund royalties among claimants before the Copyright Royalty Board.
3. I have reviewed the 2007 SoundScan sales data in the AARC Royalty Distribution System.
4. The 2007 universe of record sales for claimants to the copyright owners subfunds, which include the Settling Parties as defined in AARC’s “Report of Settlement Status and Request for Partial Distribution of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund,” is 1,125,525,327.00 units.
5. The 2007 universe of record sales for claimants to the featured artists subfunds, which include the Settling Parties as defined in AARC’s “Report of Settlement Status and Request for Partial Distribution of the 2007 Sound Recordings Fund” is 851,195,400.00 units.
6. SoundScan compiles sales data based on labels. I searched the 2007 SoundScan data but did not find a label named David Powell. Therefore, I confirm that SoundScan reported no sales for “David Powell” during 2007.
7. I also searched the 2007 SoundScan data but did not find an artist or label named Matthew Primous. Therefore, I confirm that SoundScan reported no sales for “Matthew Primous” during 2007.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.




Richard Seltzer

7-25-08
Date


Certified this 25th day of 7, 2008


Notary Public
My commission expires: _____



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sarah Koons, Junior Royalty Administrator of the Alliance of Artists and Recording Companies, certify that on this February 17, 2012, a copy of the foregoing "Supplemental Request for Distribution of the 2007 DART Sound Recordings Fund Featured Recording Artists and Copyright Owners Subfunds Royalties" was served, by the designated delivery method, on the following parties:


Sarah Koons

NON SETTLLING PARTIES BY OVERNIGHT MAIL:

Matthew Primous
P.O. Box 973
Penfield, NY 14526

David Powell
P.O. Box 010950
Miami, FL 33101

SETTLING PARTIES BY STANDARD MAIL:

*Norton Records	*Mick Taylor
*Tony Pace	*Ged Roman
*Misha Williams	*Zen Tricksters
*Kettle Joe	*The Process
*Roots Revealers	*Vaneese Thomas
*VP Records Distributors, Inc.	

*c/o Jeffrey Jacobson
60 Madison Avenue
Suite 1026
New York, NY 10010

**Denise Higginbotham	**Melanie Higginbotham
**Edie Hall	**Ayanna Taylor
**Delores Higginbotham	**Keith Higginbotham
**Lamont Higginbotham	

**c/o Richard Higginbotham
220 Montgomery Avenue
Suite 133
Springfield, OH 45506

Tim Backer
334 Tonti
South Bend, IN 46617

Eric N. Burns
11438 Grable Ct.
Fontana, CA 92337

Edward "Ray" Fenner
905 Indiana Street
Kannapolis, NC 28083

Christopher D. Corso
1215 Diana Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

Rohan Shand
11670 Virginia Avenue
Lynwood, CA 90262

James Cannings
JC Records
400 2nd Avenue
Suite 22C
New York, NY 10010

Robert Boyle
136 Hurd Street
Mine Hill, NJ 07803

Regina Westbrook
"Teeny Tucker"
2695 S. Cassady Avenue
Columbus, OH 43207