

Before the  
COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES  
Washington, D.C.

---

In the Matter of )  
Distribution of Satellite Royalty Funds ) Docket No. 20-CRB-0009-SD (2019)  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

---

**MOTION OF THE ALLOCATION PHASE PARTIES FOR  
PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF 2019 SATELLITE ROYALTY FUNDS**

The undersigned representatives of all the Allocation Phase (formerly “Phase I”) claimant categories to which Section 119 satellite royalties have been allocated in prior satellite distribution proceedings (“Allocation Phase Parties”)<sup>1</sup> hereby move the Copyright Royalty Judges (“Judges”) to order a partial distribution of 40% of the 2019 satellite royalty funds (the “2019 Satellite Funds”) to the Allocation Phase Parties.<sup>2</sup>

According to the Licensing Division of the Copyright Office, as of April 30, 2021 the amount of the 2019 Satellite Funds available for distribution totaled approximately \$8,652,393.<sup>3</sup> Based on this amount, a 40% partial distribution of the 2019 Satellite Funds would total approximately \$3,460,957. The circumstances warrant a partial distribution of 40% of the 2019 Satellite Funds as soon as feasible.

---

<sup>1</sup> Public Television Claimants, the Canadian Claimants, and National Public Radio, which receive Allocation Phase shares of cable royalties, do not claim Allocation Phase shares of the satellite royalty funds

<sup>2</sup> The Allocation Phase Parties do not intend for this motion to be a stipulation among themselves as to any procedural or substantive issue concerning the final distribution of the 2019 Satellite Funds.

<sup>3</sup> See Year-Over-Year Growth in the Copyright Royalty Funds as of April 30, 2021 (Unaudited), <https://www.copyright.gov/licensing/copyright-royalty-funds.pdf> (last visited May 25, 2021).

## **I. THE JUDGES HAVE AUTHORITY TO ORDER PRECONTROVERSY PARTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS**

Section 119 of the Copyright Act favors the early distribution of satellite royalties. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 119(b)(5)(C). Chapter 8 of the Copyright Act vests the Judges with ample statutory authority to order the pre-controversy distribution of satellite royalties. In the Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act, Congress amended Section 801(b)(3)(C) to clarify that a partial distribution of royalties can be made at any time after the filing of claims. *See* Pub. L. No. 109-303 §§ 3, 5, 109th Cong., 2d Sess. (2006), 120 Stat. 1478. Congress reaffirmed the Judges' authority to order partial distributions of statutory royalties in advance of the declaration of a controversy. Section 801(b)(3)(C) provides:

Notwithstanding section 804(b)(8), the Copyright Royalty Judges, at any time after the filing of claims under section . . . 119 . . . may, upon motion of one or more of the claimants and after publication in the *Federal Register* of a request for responses to the motion from interested claimants, make a partial distribution of such fees, if, based upon all responses received during the 30-day period beginning on the date of such publication, the Copyright Royalty Judges conclude that no claimant entitled to receive such fees has stated a reasonable objection to the partial distribution, and all such claimants —

- (i) agree to the partial distribution
- (ii) sign an agreement obligating them to return any excess amounts to the extent necessary to comply with the final determination on the distribution of the fees made under subparagraph (B);
- (iii) file that agreement with the Copyright Royalty Judges; and
- (iv) agree that such funds are available for distribution.

17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(C).

Here, the statutorily prescribed deadline for filing claims has now passed. Furthermore, the Allocation Phase Parties (1) agree to the partial distribution; (2) agree that the requested amounts

are available for distribution; (3) agree to sign the separate agreement contemplated in Section 801(b)(3)(C)(ii) obligating them to return any excess royalty amounts received, in a form to be provided by the Judges or the Copyright Office; and (4) agree to file such an agreement with the Judges or as otherwise directed.

## **II. DISTRIBUTION OF 40% OF THE 2019 SATELLITE FUNDS IS REASONABLE AND APPROPRIATE**

The Judges have consistently granted the Allocation Phase Parties' motions for partial distributions of the annual satellite royalty funds, most recently a 40% partial distribution of the 2016 and 2017 satellite royalty funds. *See Order Granting Motion for Partial Distribution of 2018 Satellite Royalty Funds*, Docket No. 19-CRB-0011-SD (2018) (determining that 40% distribution of satellite royalty funds is "reasonable and appropriate"); *Order Granting Motion of Allocation Phase Claimants for Partial Distribution of 2016 and 2017 Satellite Royalty Funds*, Docket No. 16-CRB-0010 SD (2014-2017) (Aug. 23, 2019) (finding requested 40% distribution of 2016 and 2017 satellite funds "reasonable and appropriate"); *Order Granting Allocation Phase Parties' Motion for Partial Distribution of 2015 Satellite Royalties*, Docket No. 17-CRB-011-SD (2015) (Nov. 7, 2018) (finding requested 60% distribution of 2015 satellite funds reasonable); *Order Granting Motion for Partial Distribution*, Docket No. 16-CRB-0010 SD (2014) (Aug. 24, 2016) (finding requested 60% partial distribution of 2014 satellite funds reasonable and appropriate); *Order Granting Motion of Phase I Claimants for Partial Distribution of 2013 Satellite Royalty Funds*, Docket No. 14-CRB-0011 SD (2013) (May 28, 2015) (finding requested 60% partial distribution to be "reasonable and appropriate"); *see also Order Granting Motion of Phase I Claimants for Partial Distribution of 2012 Satellite Royalty Funds*, Docket No. 14-CRB-0008 SD (2010-2012) (finding requested 60% distribution to be "reasonable and appropriate"); *Order Granting Phase I Claimants' Motion for Partial Distribution of 2011 Satellite Royalty Funds*,

Docket No. 2012-10 CRB SD 2011 (Mar. 13, 2013) (granting request for a 50% partial distribution of the 2011 satellite funds); *Order Granting Phase I Claimants' Motion for Partial Distribution of 2010 Satellite Royalty Funds*, Docket No. 2012-5 CRB 2010 SD (Sept. 18, 2012) (granting request for a 50% partial distribution of the 2010 satellite royalty funds).

Indeed, the Copyright Office has previously determined that partial distributions well in excess of 40% were reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances. *See, e.g., Order*, Docket No. 94 CARP (92-CD & 93-CD) at 2, 5 (Sept. 26, 1994) (granting motion for partial distribution of 80% of 1992 and 1993 cable royalty funds) (“September 26, 1994 Order”); *Distribution Order*, Docket No. 2000-7 CARP SD 96-98 (Oct. 12, 2000) (granting Phase I Parties’ request for partial distribution of 75% of the available satellite royalties on deposit for the 1996, 1997, and 1998 satellite royalty funds). The Allocation Phase Parties do not concede through this motion that a 40% distribution is the maximum partial distribution of royalties that should be made from the 2019 Satellite Funds. The Allocation Phase Parties reserve the right to move for additional partial distributions from the 2019 Satellite Funds as may be appropriate after the nature and extent of any *bona fide* disputes come into better focus.

A partial distribution of 40% of the 2019 Satellite Funds would ensure that the Allocation Phase Parties are not deprived of a substantial amount of the royalties that belong to them during a period that may be several years long. In the past, the Copyright Office has recognized that the earliest possible receipt of the maximum available royalties by copyright owners is an important objective of the Copyright Act. *See, e.g.,* September 26, 1994 Order at 2, 5 (Office distributed 80% of the 1992 and 1993 cable royalties, noting that “intent of the law favored early distribution”) *see also Order*, Docket No. 94 CARP (92-CD & 93-CD) at 2 (Sept. 12, 1994) (“September 12, 1994 Order”) (referring to the “overall intent of the subparagraphs in [Section 111(d)(4)] in favor of

early distributions”). Pre-proceeding distributions of satellite royalties under Section 119(b)(5)(C) may be made in circumstances where there may be a significant delay between the filing of claims and the initiation of proceedings. *See, e.g.*, September 12, 1994 Order at 2; September 26, 1994 Order at 2; *see also Order*, Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005 at 3-4 (Apr. 10, 2008).

A distribution of at least 40% of the 2019 Satellite Funds to the Allocation Phase Parties would ensure that they are not further deprived of a substantial amount of the royalties paid for the use of their copyrighted works. The Allocation Phase Parties have agreed to the amount of the proposed partial distribution. Furthermore, the Allocation Phase Parties submit that the undistributed amount, which totals \$5,191,436, along with each party’s commitment to repay any excess funds will be more than sufficient to satisfy any remaining controversies involving these funds.

Finally, because the amounts allocated to each Allocation Phase Party will remain confidential, the Allocation Phase Parties further move to have the distribution made in bulk to a common agent for all Parties. In this regard, the Allocation Phase Parties have entered into a confidential distribution agreement with the Office of the Commissioner of Baseball (“Baseball”), agreeing that Baseball will serve as the common agent for the distribution of royalties among the individual Allocation Phase Parties.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth above, the Allocation Phase Parties respectfully request that the Judges, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(C), publish for comment in the Federal Register the proposed partial distribution of 40% of the royalties contained in the 2019 Satellite Funds, and thereafter grant this Motion and order a 40% partial distribution of the 2019 Satellite Funds to the Allocation Phase Parties as soon as feasible.

June 10, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

**PROGRAM SUPPLIERS**

/s/ Lucy Plovnick  
Gregory O. Olaniran  
D.C. Bar No. 455784  
Lucy Holmes Plovnick  
D.C. Bar No. 488752  
Alesha M. Dominique  
D.C. Bar No. 990311  
MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP  
1818 N Street N.W., 8th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Telephone: (202) 355-7817  
Fax: (202) 355-7887  
goo@msk.com  
lhp@msk.com  
amd@msk.com

**JOINT SPORTS CLAIMANTS**

/s/ Michael Kientzle  
Daniel A. Cantor  
DC Bar No. 457115)  
Michael Kientzle  
DC Bar No. 1008361  
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER  
LLP  
601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
202.942.5000 (voice)  
202.942.5999 (facsimile)  
Daniel.Cantor@arnoldporter.com  
Michael.Kientzle@arnoldporter.com

**COMMERCIAL TELEVISION  
CLAIMANTS GROUP**

/s/ David Ervin  
John I. Stewart, Jr.  
DC Bar No. 913905  
David Ervin  
DC Bar No. 445013  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004-2595  
Telephone: (202) 624-2685  
Fax: (202) 628-5116  
jstewart@crowell.com

## **DEVOTIONAL CLAIMANTS**

/s/ Arnold Lutzker

Arnold P. Lutzker  
DC Bar No. 101816  
Benjamin Sternberg  
DC Bar No. 1016576  
Jeannette M. Carmadella  
DC Bar No. 500586  
LUTZKER & LUTZKER LLP  
1233 20<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 703  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 408-7600  
Fax: (202) 408-7677  
arnie@lutzker.com

/s/ Matthew MacLean

Matthew J. MacLean  
DC Bar No. 479257  
Michael A. Warley  
DC Bar No. 1028686  
Jessica T. Nyman  
D.C. Bar No. 1030613  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW  
PITTMAN LLP  
1200 Seventeenth Street, NW  
Washington DC 20036  
Tel: (202) 663-8183  
Fax: (202) 663-8007  
Matthew.MacLean@pillsburylaw.com

## **AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS**

/s/ Samuel Mosenkis

Samuel Mosenkis  
NY Bar No. 2628915  
ASCAP  
250 West 57th Street, 14th Floor  
New York, NY 10107  
Telephone: (212) 621-6450  
Fax: (212) 787-1381  
smosenkis@ascap.com

## **BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.**

/s/ Hope Lloyd

Hope M. Lloyd  
NY Bar No. 3903754  
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.  
7 World Trade Center  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007-0030  
Telephone: (212) 220-3148  
Fax: (212) 220-4490  
hlloyd@bmi.com

/s/ Jennifer Criss

Brian A. Coleman  
DC Bar No. 459201  
Jennifer T. Criss  
DC Bar No. 981982  
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP  
1500 K Street, NW – Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 842-8800  
Fax: (202) 842-8465  
brian.coleman@faegredrinker.com  
jennifer.criss@faegredrinker.com

## **SESAC PERFORMING RIGHTS, LLC**

/s/ John Beiter

John C. Beiter  
TN Bar No. 12564  
Beiter Law Firm, PLLC  
P.O. Box 120433  
Nashville, TN 37212  
Telephone: (615) 488-0088  
john@beiterlaw.com

/s/ Christos Badavas

Christos P. Badavas  
NY Bar No. 2673838  
SESAC PERFORMING RIGHTS, LLC  
152 West 57th Street, 57th Floor  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 586-3450  
cbadavas@sesac.com

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 10th day of June, 2021, a copy of the foregoing was sent via email to the following:

Edward S. Hammerman  
HAMMERMAN, PLLC  
5335 Wisconsin Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20015  
[ted@copyrightroyalties.com](mailto:ted@copyrightroyalties.com)

Brian D. Boydston  
PICK & BOYDSTON LLP  
10786 Le Conte Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90024  
[brianb@ix.netcom.com](mailto:brianb@ix.netcom.com)

/s/ Michael Kientzle

Michael Kientzle