

Before the
UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of:

**DETERMINATION OF ROYALTY RATES
AND TERMS FOR MAKING AND
DISTRIBUTING PHONORECORDS
(*PHONORECORDS IV*)**

**Docket No. 21-CRB-0001-PR
(2023-2027)**

**JOINT SUBMISSION PURSUANT TO ORDER 65
REQUESTING ADDITIONAL BRIEFING FROM PARTICIPANTS**

National Music Publishers' Association and Nashville Songwriters Association International (together, "Copyright Owners"), together with Amazon.com Services LLC, Apple Inc., Google LLC, Pandora Media, LLC, and Spotify USA Inc. (collectively, the "Services" and, together with Copyright Owners, the "Settled Participants"), make this joint submission in response to the Judges' January 5, 2023 Order 65 Requesting Additional Briefing From Participants ("Order 65").

In Order 65, the Judges state that George Johnson "appears to have requested a rate for activity that may not be part of the settlement, which he describes as an 'unlimited, limited download,'" and direct the participants to provide briefing "as to whether and how this proceeding may address such activity." (Order 65 at 1; *see also* 87 Fed. Reg. 80448 (Dec. 30, 2022) ("Final Rule") at 80543 n.22.). As discussed below, the Settled Participants respectfully submit that no further action need be taken in this proceeding with respect to such activity.

With respect to Mr. Johnson's filings, he does not define what he intends by the term "unlimited limited download," and it appears that his use of this term may relate to a misimpression

*Joint Submission Pursuant to Order 65
Docket No. 21-CRB-0001-PR (2023-2027)*

that the recently published rates and terms (the “Published Rates”) include a “loophole” whereby royalties are not paid in connection with Eligible Limited Downloads, or that such downloads can be retained and used indefinitely. (*See, e.g.*, Comments and Second Response in Opposition to the Subpart C Proposed Settlement, eCRB Doc. No. 27371, at 2 n.3; *see also id.* at 12.). However, pursuant to Subpart C of the Published Rates, the Judges did set a rate for Eligible Limited Downloads, so that royalties are due for that activity, and the definition clearly sets limits on the activity. (*See* Published Rates § 385.20 (“This subpart establishes rates and terms of royalty payments for... Eligible Limited Downloads of musical works...”).). There is thus no “loophole” as to Eligible Limited Downloads for the Judges to address.

It is also possible that, as the Judges noted in the Final Rule, Mr. Johnson’s use of “unlimited limited download” may be a reference to a proposal included in Copyright Owners’ WDS to address a download that is not permanent but also does not qualify as an Eligible Limited Download. (87 Fed. Reg. at 80452 n.16, citing Copyright Owners WDS at 23–24.). Insofar as Order 65 is directed to such downloads, the Settled Participants also believe no further action need be taken in this proceeding.

First, while the Published Rates establish a rate for Eligible Limited Downloads (as noted above), the lack of a rate for certain Restricted Downloads that are not Eligible Limited Downloads is not inconsistent with current industry practice. The Settled Participants are not aware of any service offerings in the U.S. that involve Restricted Downloads that are not Eligible Limited Downloads (other than in connection with Purchased Content Locker Services, for which the Published Rates establish a rate). The Settled Participants do not believe that the Judges at this time need to adjudicate a rate for activity that does not appear to exist in the marketplace.

Second, the lack of a rate for certain Restricted Downloads that are not Eligible Limited Downloads (for offerings other than locker services) that Copyright Owners raised and that the Judges cited has been an aspect of the compulsory mechanical license since *Phonorecords I*. See 37 C.F.R. § 385.11 (2010) (definition of “limited download”); 37 C.F.R. §§ 385.11 (definition of “limited download”), 385.21 (2014) (definitions of “restricted download” and “limited download”); 37 C.F.R. § 385.2 (2020) (definitions of “Restricted Download” and “Eligible Limited Download”). The Settled Participants reasonably agreed to carry over that same scope in resolving this proceeding.

Third, the Copyright Act addresses rate-setting for a new covered activity offered in the marketplace for which no rate has been set. In such a situation, the Act states that a rate and terms set in a subsequent *Phonorecords* proceeding “shall be retroactive to the inception of activity.” See 17 U.S.C. § 803(d)(2)(B). Further, in the interim until a rate and terms are set by the Judges, “the mechanical licensing collective and any digital music provider may agree to an interim rate and terms for such activity under the blanket license.” 17 U.S.C. § 115(d)(8)(C)-(D). Any such interim rate and terms would be nonprecedential, and any rate and terms later established by the Judges, “shall supersede the interim rate and terms and apply retroactively to the inception of the activity under the blanket license.” *Id.* These provisions would apply in the event a service provider engaged in covered activity for which no rate had been set.

For these reasons, the Settled Participants respectfully submit that the Judges need not further address this potential activity in this proceeding. The Settled Participants each reserve all rights with respect to any such activity should it occur, including with respect to the rate and terms that should apply to such activity.

DATED: January 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Benjamin K. Semel
Benjamin K. Semel (N.Y. Bar No. 2963445)
Frank P. Scibilia (N.Y. Bar No. 2762466)
Donald S. Zakarin (N.Y. Bar No. 1545383)
Joshua Weigensberg (N.Y. Bar No. 4894929)
PRYOR CASHMAN LLP
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036-6569
Telephone: (212) 421-4100
bsemel@pryorcashman.com
fscibilia@pryorcashman.com
dzakarin@pryorcashman.com
jweigensberg@pryorcashman.com

*Counsel for the National Music Publishers’
Association, Inc. and the Nashville
Songwriters Association International*

By: /s/ Joseph R. Wetzel
Joseph R. Wetzel (Cal. Bar No. 238008)
Andrew M. Gass (Cal. Bar No. 259694)
Brittany N. Lovejoy (Cal. Bar No. 286813)
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
505 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111
Tel.: (415) 391-0600
joe.wetzel@lw.com
andrew.gass@lw.com
brittany.lovejoy@lw.com

- and-

Allison L. Stillman (N.Y. Bar No. 4451381)
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
1271 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
Tel.: (212) 906-1200
alli.stillman@lw.com

– and –

Sarang (Sy) Damle (D.C. Bar No. 1619619)
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
Tel.: (202) 637-2200
sy.damle@lw.com

Counsel for Spotify USA Inc.

By: /s/ Joshua D. Branson
Joshua D. Branson (D.C. Bar No. 981623)
Scott H. Angstreich (D.C. Bar No. 471085)
Aaron M. Panner (D.C. Bar No. 453608)
Leslie V. Pope (D. C. Bar No. 1014920)
KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL, &
FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel.: (202) 326-7900
jbranson@kellogghansen.com
sangstreich@kellogghansen.com
apanner@kellogghansen.com
lpope@kellogghansen.com

Counsel for Amazon.com Services LLC

By: /s/ Gary R. Greenstein
Gary R. Greenstein (DC Bar No. 455549)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &
ROSATI, P.C. 1700 K Street, N.W., 5th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
Tel. (202) 973-8849
Fax: (202) 973-8899
ggreenstein@wsgr.com

-and-

Ryan Benyamin (Cal. Bar No. 322594)
Victor H. Jih (Cal. Bar No. 186515)
Lisa D. Zang (Cal. Bar No. 294493)
Rebecca E. Davis (Cal. Bar No. 322765)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &
ROSATI, P.C.
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2027
Tel.: (323) 210-2900
Fax: (866) 974-7329
rbenyamin@wsgr.com
vjih@wsgr.com
lzang@wsgr.com
becca.davis@wsgr.com

Counsel for Google LLC

By: /s/ Benjamin E. Marks
Benjamin E. Marks (N.Y. Bar No. 2912921)
Todd Larson (N.Y. Bar No. 4358438)
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
Tel: (212) 310-8000
benjamin.marks@weil.com

Counsel for Pandora Media, LLC

By: /s/ Mary C. Mazzello
Mary C. Mazzello (N.Y. Bar No. 5022306)
Dale M. Cendali (N.Y. Bar No. 1969070)
Claudia Ray (N.Y. Bar No. 2576742)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue, 42nd Floor
New York, NY 10022
Tel. (212) 446-4800
Fax: (212) 446-4900
mary.mazzello@kirkland.com
dale.cendali@kirkland.com
claudia.ray@kirkland.com

Counsel for Apple Inc.

Proof of Delivery

I hereby certify that on Friday, January 20, 2023, I provided a true and correct copy of the Joint Submission Pursuant to Order 65 Requesting Additional Briefing from Participants to the following:

UMG Recordings, Inc., represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Sony Music Entertainment, represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Warner Music Group Corp., represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Zisk, Brian, represented by Brian Zisk, served via E-Service at brianzisk@gmail.com

Joint Record Company Participants, represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Johnson, George, represented by George D Johnson, served via E-Service at george@georgejohnson.com

Powell, David, represented by David Powell, served via E-Service at davidpowell008@yahoo.com

Google LLC, represented by Gary R Greenstein, served via E-Service at ggreenstein@wsgr.com

Pandora Media, LLC, represented by Benjamin E. Marks, served via E-Service at benjamin.marks@weil.com

Spotify USA Inc., represented by Joseph Wetzel, served via E-Service at joe.wetzel@lw.com

Amazon.com Services LLC, represented by Joshua D Branson, served via E-Service at

jbranson@kellogghansen.com

Apple Inc., represented by Mary C Mazzello, served via E-Service at
mary.mazzello@kirkland.com

Signed: /s/ Benjamin K Semel