ORIGINAL

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL Washington, D.C.

In the matter of)			
)			
)	Docket No.	CRT	79-1
Distribution of)			
Cable Rovaltv Fees)			

MOTION FOR A RULING CONCERNING THE SHARE OF THE ROYALTY POOL TO WHICH NCAA IS ENTITLED

The Joint Sports Claimants hereby request the Tribunal to rule that the National Collegiate Athletic Association ("NCAA") is entitled to no more than \$3,382.79 of the

1978 cable royalty pool -- the precise amount which the

NCAA requested in its claims filed in July 1978 and July

1979.

As discussed below, the NCAA has no authority to claim royalties attributable to all collegiate telecasts; its authority extends to nothing more than the handful of telecasts in which it and two of the approximately 862 collegiate athletic associations own the copyright. The Joint Sports Claimants urge that the Tribunal expeditiously grant this motion so as to avoid any needless expenditure of time and effort during Phase II comparing the telecasts of

the Joint Sports Claimants with the collegiate telecasts which were not encompassed within the claims originally filed by the NCAA.

I. BACKGROUND

In its Phase I decision the Tribunal determined that the Joint Sports Claimants and NCAA were collectively entitled to 12% of the royalty pool. The Tribunal, however, did not indicate how this 12% was to be divided between the two groups of claimants. As the Tribunal is aware, the Joint Sports Claimants have agreed on a distribution among the four professional sports leagues which they represent (Major League Baseball, National Basketball Association, National Hockety League and North American Soccer League), and each of the leagues has agreed upon a distribution among its member clubs (some 89 in number). No agreement, however, has been reached between the Joint Sports Claimants and the NCAA.

In an effort to avoid a Phase II controversy, the

Joint Sports Claimants offered to settle with the NCAA on

the basis of the data contained in their A.C. Nielsen audience

viewing study -- a study upon which both groups relied

during Phase I. The Nielsen study shows that all of the non-network collegiate sports telecasts had a distant cable audience which accounted for 3.6% of the total sports audience; application of this figure to the 12% awarded by the Tribunal would result in an NCAA share of 0.432% of the entire pool or more than \$60,000. As discussed below, this share is considerably greater than that to which the NCAA can properly claim an entitlement given the de minimis nature of the interests it is authorized to represent (i.e., itself and only two of the approximately 862 collegiate athletic associations). This share also represents the maximum of any reasonable award that could be made, utilizing the criteria announced in the Tribunal's Phase I decision, for all collegiate telecasts, the vast bulk of which the NCAA cannot properly claim.

Nevertheless, the NCAA demanded a share equal to 25% of the 12% awarded sports, or 3% of the entire royalty pool -- more than 100 times what it originally valued its claim to be.

^{*/} Indeed, as the NCAA itself advised the Tribunal, "the Nielsen Study in evidence is entitled to receive great weight in the Tribunal's deliberations." "Phase I Post-Hearing Brief of the National Collegiate Athletic Association" at p.50.

See also "Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the National Collegiate Athletic Association" at pp.15-17.

Its only purported justification rested upon a <u>time-based</u> comparison between what it believes to be the total number of <u>all</u> collegiate sporting events and the sporting events in which professional clubs represented by the Joint Sports Claimants had supposedly participated. The NCAA's latest estimate of the value of its claim, based solely upon a factor to which the Tribunal has attached only minimal significance (<u>i.e.</u>, time), has necessitated the instant motion and, unless the motion is granted, further proceedings during Phase II.

II. ARGUMENT

Throughout the Phase I proceedings the NCAA had consistently echoed the position advanced by the Joint Sports Claimants that time alone does not provide an adequate basis for distributing the royalty pool. But now that the sports royalties must be divided, the NCAA has conveniently abandoned this position in favor of one which, it believes, will garner a greater slice of the pie for it.

The NCAA's "time" figures, which themselves take no account of actual cable carriage of sports programming, are

not only wrong as a matter of fact but inconsequential as well; the Tribunal has already ruled that time is no more than a secondary consideration. When one looks at what the Tribunal has determined to be the primary considerations (value and harm), it is quite apparent that the NCAA has no support whatsoever for its outlandish demand of 25% of the total sports royalties.

Indeed, the Nielsen study demonstrates that the very conclusions applicable to broadcasters' local programming apply to collegiate programming as well, <u>i.e.</u>, that during an average quarter hour, the distant cable audience for a professional sports event is almost four times greater than that for a collegiate sports event; and (2) the total audience for collegiate sports events is less than one-half of the total time. When these facts are considered in light of the other proof submitted by the Joint Sports Claimants

^{*/} The Joint Sports Claimants are prepared to show that, based upon their Nielsen data, qualifying collegiate sports telecasts occupied only 7.7% of the total sports time. This study, of course, unlike the NCAA's bare allegations, takes account of actual CATV carriage of sports programming. See also Tr. 4/9 at p.148 (testimony of MPAA's Mr. Cooper) (referring to the MPAA's time-based study, Mr. Cooper noted, in response to a question from NCAA's counsel, that "[v]ery few entries were made in terms of college sports. Primarily, in college sports it seemed to be distributed on the part of network programming.").

(which focused upon professional sports programming) and the total failure of the NCAA during the proceedings to do anything more than assimilate the proof of other parties, the 0.432% of the entire royalty pool suggested by the Nielsen data represents the maximum award for all collegiate sports.

The claim actually filed by the NCAA cannot, however, be distorted so as to reflect an entitlement to this entire sum. The very narrow scope of the NCAA's claim is best demonstrated by their own filings before the Tribunal --

- -- In a memorandum filed with the Tribunal on November 15, 1979, the NCAA indicated that there are at least 725 four-year colleges and universities, 71 collegiate conferences and 66 other institutions and organizations involved in collegiate athletics. The NCAA further noted that in some cases it is the copyright owner of collegiate sports telecasts; in other cases, one of the 862-collegiate athletic associations is the copyright owner.
- -- In its claim for the first half of 1978, the NCAA sought royalties only for "NCAA-copyrighted works." (Exhibit 1) In its claim for the second half of 1978, the NCAA expanded its request to include "NCAA-, BCAA- (Boston College Athletic Association), or University of Kentucky-copyrighted works." (Exhibit 2) In the latter filing, the NCAA identified itself as a claimant "on its own behalf and on behalf of Boston College Athletic Association and

^{*/} See Joint Sports Claimants Proposed Findings and Conclusions at 53-56, 68.

the University of Kentucky Athletics Association."

- -- None of the over 860 other collegiate athletic associations not encompassed within the NCAA's claims has ever filed its own claim covering the first or second half of 1978.
- -- The NCAA valued its first-half 1978 claim to be precisely \$1,953.90 (Exhibit 3) and its second-half 1978 claim to be \$1,428.89 (Exhibit 2). To arrive at these figures, the NCAA employed a formula which was based upon the two telecasts it identified in its first-half 1978 claim, and the three telecasts identified in its second-half 1978 claim.

In its original filings the NCAA did not so much as suggest that it had authority to claim for anything other than the telecasts in which it and (for second-half 1978) only two of the 862 collegiate athletic associations owned the copyright. Quite to the contrary, the \$3,382.79 value which the NCAA placed upon its claim and the fact that it separately identified the two colleges on whose behalf it was acting (Boston College and the Univeristy of Kentucky) clearly demonstrate that it understood the de minimis nature of the claim it was authorized to prosecute.

No one, of course, is suggesting that the NCAA's claim should be limited because of an inartfully drafted pleading.

The point, rather, is that the NCAA, when it filed its claim, had authority to act only for itself and (with respect to second-half 1978) only two collegiate institutions. The NCAA's claims are limited to the copyrighted programs within that sphere, and it has no greater right to the unclaimed collegiate sports telecasts than, for example, the Joint Sports Claimants.

The fact that the NCAA had counsel in the hearing room who questioned the witnesses of other parties provides no basis for allowing the NCAA belatedly to expand its claim. Equally unavailing are the NCAA's attempts to alter the scope of its original claim by seeking -- well after this claim had been filed -- "assignments" of royalty claims from '*/ various colleges. Any such post hoc "assignment" cannot, for at least two reasons, be relied upon to inflate the NCAA's royalty award. First, none of these institutions filed timely claims for 1978 royalties, as required by the Copyright Act and the rules of the Tribunal, and thus they have nothing to assign. Second, the authority to represent these institutions did not exist at the time that the claims were required to have been filed under the Act and the Tribunal's

^{*/} Indeed, as recently as last month the NCAA was still soliciting these authorizations. (See Exhibit 4.)

rules. To hold otherwise would mean that any person might file a claim for all otherwise unclaimed programming and then attempt to legitimize this claim by receiving belated authorizations.

III. CONCLUSION

In sum, the NCAA's claim is limited to those 1978 collegiate sports telecasts in which it owns the copyright and to those second-half 1978 collegiate sports telecasts in which Boston College and the University of Kentucky are the copyright owners. The NCAA has valued those telecasts at \$3,382.79, which seems generous indeed in view of the NCAA's total failure to present any independent evidence in this proceeding and the fact that only a relative handful of telecasts are involved. Indeed, if the formula which produced this \$3,382.79 figure were utilized for all of the over 750 collegiate sporting events alleged by the NCAA to

^{*/} The Tribunal, of course, recognized the impropriety of this action when it required that any joint claim "include a concise statement of the authorization for the filing of the joint claim." 47 C.F.R. § 302.2. This provision was adopted, at the request of the professional sports leagues, to prevent the very conduct in which the NCAA is engaged. See 43 Fed. Reg. 24528 (June 6, 1978). There is no statement in the NCAA's claims which indicates that it is authorized to claim for anything more than those works in which it (and for second-half 1978) Boston College and the University of Kentucky actually own the copyright.

have been televised and retransmitted by cable, college sports along might well be entitled to more than the 12% share which the Tribunal awarded all sports.

The Tribunal should therefore rule that the \$3,382.79 originally sought by the NCAA sets the outer limit of its claim. Such a ruling is required as a matter of law, and to issue the ruling now will greatly simplify the Phase II hearings and avoid burdening the record with extraneous material.

Respectfully submitted,

James F. Fitzpatricl

David H. Lloyd

Robert Alan Garrett

Vicki J. Divoll

ARNOLD & PORTER
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 872-6878

Of Counsel:

Alexander H. Hadden
Office of the Commissioner of Baseball
15 West 51st Street
New York, New York 10019

Dated: August 13, 1980

Philip R. Hochberg

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR & PEASE

1828 L Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 296-2929

Attorneys for the Joint Sports Claimants

27 JUL 1777

Cox, Langford & Brown

21 DUPONT CIRCLE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036 TELEPHONE (202) 862-7000

CLEVELAND OFFICE: SOUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY 1800 UNION COMMERCE BUILDING CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115 (\$16) 696-9500

EUROPEAN OFFICE: AVENUE LOUISE, 165 BOX 15 1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM TELEPHONE 648. 17. 17

ATTORNEY'S DIRECT DIAL

862-7036

CABLE "COXFIRM" TELEX 'CLXS 440003"

OF COUNSEL MARIE LOUISE LOPICAN

PHILIS B PROWN

J. EDWARD DAY

MICHAEL SCOTT

SCEERT D. FARKIN

SITCHIE T. THOMAS

CHAFLES E. ALLEN

EDWARD W. SAUER

JOHN M. COOPER

CHARLES E. RAINS

CANIEL P. MESSING

STEPHEN M. TURNER

RICKARD F. PFIZENMAYER

July 26, 1978

Dear Sirs:

W. JOHN KENNEY

JOHN LANSDALE

JOHN N. NASSIKAS

WILLIAM C. COLLISHAW

HEATHER M. KIRKWOOD

CHARLES M. CARRON

RCLAND L. MARCOTTE

HENRY W. LAVINE

JOHN D. CUMMINS

JOHN C. BATES, JR.

WILLIAM D. KRAMER

WILLIAM K. BLACK

Enclosed is an original and one copy of the Claim to copyright royalty fees for cable system transmissions during the period January 1 through June 30, 1978, filed on behalf of The National Collegiate Athletic Association.

We request that the copy be stamped as filed with the Tribunal and the stamped copy returned to us at the above address for our files. Please advise us if additional copies of the Claim are desired for use by the Tribunal, and we will be happy to provide them.

Sincerely yours,

Ritchie T. Thomas

Copyright Royalty Tribunal 1111 20th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Enclosures

BEFORE THE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL WASHINGTON, D. C.

CLAIM TO CABLE ROYALTY FEES FOR SECONDARY TRANSMISSIONS DURING THE PERIOD JANUARY 1 THROUGH JUNE 30, 1978

ON BEHALF OF
THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

Pursuant to Section 111 of the Act for General Revision of the Copyright Law (17 USC § 111), and the Regulations of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (37 CFR Part 302), The National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) hereby submits the following claim for cable royalty fees arising from the secondary transmission to the public of primary broadcast transmissions embodying performances or displays of NCAA-copyrighted works during the period January 1 through June 30, 1978, inclusive.

- 1. <u>Full Legal Name of Claimant:</u>
 The National Collegiate Athletic Association
- 2. Full Address of Claimant:
- U. S. Highway 50 and Nall Avenue P. O. Box 1906 Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222
- 3. General Statement of the Nature of the Copyrighted Works Whose Secondary Transmission Provides the Basis of the Claim:

Motion pictures and other audiovisual works as defined in 17 USC § 101.

4. Identification of At Least One Secondary Transmission Establishing a Basis for the Claim:

On March 12, 1978, primary transmissions were made on a nonnetwork basis maked performances or displays of the following two NCAA-copyrighted works:

1978 National Collegiate Basketball Championship -- Missouri v. Utah

1978 National Collegiate Basketball Championship -- Creighton v. DePaul

These works were produced by the NCAA and all actions required by the Copyright Law to be taken in order for the NCAA to secure copyright in these broadcasts were taken. The primary transmissions were made over the following television broadcast stations licensed by the Federal Communications Commission:

Game	Broadcast Stations			
Missouri v. Utah	KOMU, Columbi KMTC, Springf	field, Missouri City, Missouri		
Creighton v. DePaul	WMAQ, Chicago KMTV, Omaha,	o, Illinois Nebraska		

The NCAA has determined from official Federal Communications Commission records that the cable systems listed below had authority to make secondary transmissions of these telecasts beyond the local service area of the primary transmitters, as those terms are defined in Section 111(f) of the Copyright Law.

It has not been feasible for the NCAA more specifically to identify each cable system that in fact made such secondary transmissions, because those data are not presently available to the public and will not be available until the August, 1978 filing of Statements of Account by cable systems required by meghations of the Register of Copyrights. Certain of the below-listed systems have advised counsel to the MCAA that they in fact carried the telecasts concerned, and those systems are identified by estericks. The NCAA believes that a substantial number of the other cable systems listed below made becondary transmissions of the specified primary transmissions

and, although it is impractical to attempt to verify this until the Statements of Account are filed, the NCAA intends each cable system listed as an example of a qualifying secondary transmission. Accordingly, the NCAA submits the following listing in compliance with the requirements of 37 CFR §302.3(d):

Cable Systems Entitled to Make Secondary Transmissions. of the Primary Transmissions of Missouri v. Utah Beyond the Local Service Areas of the Primary Transmitters

American Television and Communications Corp., Chanute, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Independence, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Neodesha, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Parsons, Kansas 👡 Cablecom-General Inc., Abilene, Kansas Cablecom-General Inc. Clay Center, Kansas Cablecom-General Inc. Concordia, Kansas Karlen Communications, Inc., Hoisington, Kansas Karlen Communications Inc., Larned, Kansas Community Antenna Systems Inc., Council Grove, Kansas Warner Cable Corp., Russell, Kansas Fort Cablevision Inc., Fort Scott, Kansas Ft Riley Cable TV Service Inc., Fort Riley, Kansas Ft Riley Cable TV Service Inc., Ogden, Kansas Kays Inc., Hays, Kansas Kansas State Network Inc., Herington, Kansas Iola Cable TV Inc., Humboldt, Kansas Iola Cable TV Inc., Iola, Kansas Junction City Television Inc., Grandview Plaza, Kansas Junction City Television Inc., Junction City, Kansas Manhattan Cable TV Service Inc., Manhattan, Kansas Beatrice Cable TV Co Inc., Marysville, Kansas Pittsburg Cable TV Inc., Pittsburg, Kansas Salina Cable TV System Inc., Salina, Kansas Wamego Community Antenna System Inc., Wamego, Kansas Minneapolis Cable Inc., Minneapolis, Kansas General Communications Inc., Gas, Kansas Republican Valley Cable Inc., Clyde, Kansas Republican Valley Cable Inc., Clifton, Kansas Beatrice Cable TV Co Inc., Marshall, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Boonville, Missouri American Television and Communications Corp., Maryville, Missouri Cablecom-General of Kirksville Inc., Kirksville, Missouri Warner Cable Transmission Corp., El Dorado Springs, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Fairfax, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Rock Port, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Tarkio, Missouri MCI Cable vision Inc., Jefferson City, Missouri TCI Cablevision Inc., Moberly, Missouri. Meyada WV Cable Co Inc., Nevada, Missouri Cable TV System Inc of Bethany, Bethany Missouri Princeton Cablevision Inc., Princeton, Missouri Grant City Cablevision Inc., Grant City, Missouri American Television and Communications Corp., Falls City, Nebraska Muntsville rV Cable Inc., Huntsville, Arkansas Twin Lakes Television Corp., Berryville, Arkansas

Twin Lakes Television Corp., Eureka Springs, Arkansas Texas Community Antennas Inc., Johnson, Arkansas Texas Community Antennas, Inc., Springdale, Arkansas *Village CATV Inc., Bella Vista Village, Arkansas Consolidated Cable TV Inc., Clinton, Arkansas Ind. Co Cable TV Inc., Evening Shade, Arkansas Marmac Cable TV Inc., Ash Flat, Arkansas Carthage Cablevision Inc., Carthage, Missouri Warner Cable Transmission Corp., El Dorado Springs, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Waynesville, Missouri *Rolla Cable System Inc., Rolla, Missouri Cablevision of Missouri Inc., Eldon, Missouri Rolla Cable System Inc., Northwye, Missouri Versailles Totalvision Inc., Versailles, Missouri Midwest Diversified Communications Inc., Lake Ozark, Missouri Midwest Diversified Communications Inc., Camdenton, Missouri Vinita Cablevision Inc., Vinita, Oklahoma American Television Communications Corp., Chillicothe, Missouri Cablecom-General Inc., Brookfield, Missouri Cablecom-General of Kirksville Inc., Kirksville, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Warsaw, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Windsor, Missouri *Knob Noster Cable Inc., Knob Noster, Missouri Jim Scott & Associates Inc., Lebanon, Missouri Cablecom-General Inc., Trenton, Missouri *Warr nsburg Cable Inc., Warrensburg, Missouri Missouri Valley Communications Inc., Lexington, Missouri Missouri Valley Communications Inc., Carrollton, Missouri Missouri Valley Communications Inc., Concordia, Missouri Knob Noster Cable Inc., Whiteman, Missouri CATV of Higginsville Inc., Higginsville, Missouri Missouri Valley Communications Inc., Richmond, Missouri Mid-America CATV Systems Inc., Marceline, Missouri Mid-America CATV Systems Inc., Carrollton, Missouri American Television and Communications Corp., Chanute, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Neodesha, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Parsons, Kansas Mickelson Media Inc., Atchison, Kansas *Belleville Community Antenna System Inc., Belleville, Kansas Cablecom General Inc., Beloit, Kansas Karlen Communications Inc., Hoisington, Kansas Karlen Communications Inc., Larned, Kansas Coffeyville Cable TV Inc., Coffeyville, Kansas Warner Cable Corp., Russell, Kansas Eureka Cable TV Inc., Eureka, Kansas Fort Cablevision Inc., Fort Scott, Kansas Fredonia Cable TV Inc., Fredonia, Kansas Kays Inc., Hays, Kansas Iola Cable TV Inc., Humboldt, Kansas Iola Cable TV Inc., Iola, Kansas Kansas City Cable Inc., Kansas City, Kansas Salina Cable TV System Inc., Salina, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Overland Park, Kansas Coffeyville Cable TV Inc., Cherryvale, Kanses Coffeyville Cable TV Inc., Caney, Kansas Rudson, Larry D et al, Osawatomie, Kansas Garanaity TCI of Kansas Inc., Leavenworth, Kansas Six Star Cablevision of Kansas City Inc., Kansas City, Kunsas Six Star Cablevision of Desota Inc., De Soto, Kansas Six Star Cablevision of Gardner Inc., Gordner, Kansas Community TCI of Kansas Inc., Fairway, Kanuas

Six Star Cablevision of Bonner Springs Inc., Bonner Springs, Kansas Community TCI of Kansas Inc., Lenexa, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Lenexa, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Lerwood, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Mission, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Roeland Park Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Fairway, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Shawnee, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Prairie Village, Kansas Telecable of Overland Park Inc., Merriam, Kansas Cable TV of Paola Inc., Paola, Kansas Minneapolis Cable Inc., Minneapolis, Kansas General Communications Inc., Gas, Kansas Cable TV of Paola Inc., La Cygne, Kansas Tri-River Cable Inc., Hillsboro, Kansas American Television and Communications Corp., Maryville, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Fairfax, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Rock Port, Missouri
Warner Cable Corp., Tarkio, Missouri
American Television and Communications Corp., Maryville, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Fairfax, Missouri Warner Cable Corp., Tarkio, Missouri American Television and Communications Corp., Falls City, Nebraska Beatrice Cable TV Company Inc., Beatrice, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Auburn, Nebraska T-V Television Inc., Fairbury, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Humboldt, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Pawnee City, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Table Rock, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Tecumseh, Nebraska

Cable Systems Entitled to Make Secondary Transmissions of the Primary Transmission of Creighton v. DePaul Beyond the Local Service Areas of the Transmitters

Warner Cable Transmission Corp., Rochelle, Illinois Liberty TV Cable Inc., Charleston, Illinois Kankakee TV Cable Co Inc., Limestone, Illinois Sammons Communications of Illinois Inc., Bruce, Illinois Television Transmission Co Inc., Spring Valley, Illinois Cable Television Co of Illinois Inc., Chenoa, Illinois Paxton Community Antenna System Inc., Paston, Illinois Television Transmission Co Inc., Bureau, Illinois Piper City Cable TV Inc., Piper City, Illinois Vista Cable Inc., Monon, Indiana Drake, Earl, Benton, Michigan Four Flags TV Co Inc., Howard, Michigan Warner Cable Corp., Guthrie Center, Iowa Warner Cable Transmission Corp., Sac City, Iowa *Creston Cablevision Inc., Creston, Iowa Kay B L Vision Inc., Storm Lake, Iowa Carroll Cable Co Inc., Carroll, Iowa Magic Valley Cable Vision Inc., Filer, Idaho Pagic Valley Cable Vision Inc., Kichecly, Idaho Magic Valley Cable Vision Inc., Twin Falls, Idaho Wateer Cable Corp., Hiswatha, Kansas Boatrice Cable TV Co Inc., Marysville, Kansas Washington Cable 1V Inc., Washington, Kansas

Beatrice Cable TV Co Inc., Marshall, Kansas Mid South Telecasters Inc., Covington, Louisiana Grant City Cablevision Inc., Grant City, Missouri Apollo Communications Inc., South Sioux City, Nebraska T-V Transmission Inc., Fairbury, Nebraska T-V Transmission, Inc., York, Nebraska

This listing is submitted solely in response to the requirement for identification of an illustrative secondary transmission establishing a basis for this claim. It is not intended, and should not be understood, to be a complete or final listing of qualifying secondary transmissions of NCAA works.

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 302.8, I hereby certify that the foregoing claim submitted on behalf of The National Collegiate Athletic Association was addressed to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal, 1111 Twentieth Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20036 and deposited with the United States Postal Service on July ?6., 1978 with sufficient postage as first class mail. I further certify that this law firm is counsel to the NCAA and that I am authorized to submit this claim on that organization's behalf.

Ritchie T. Thomas
Cox, Langford & Brown
21 Dupont Circle, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Counsel to The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Dated: July 26 1978

CLTY OF WASHINGTON)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA) SS:

Refore me this day came Ritchie T. Thomas, personally known to me, who, after being duly chorn, affirmed that the

statements made in the foregoing Certificate of Deposit are true, correct and complete to the best of his information and belief.

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO before me this 26 day of

Sharon Harris, Notary Public

The state of the same of the s



יין היין הבסבויים וויין און

BEFORE THE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL /S

CLAIM TO CABLE ROYALTY FEES
FOR SECONDARY TRANSMISSIONS DURING THE PERIOD
JULY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1978

Pursuant to Section 111 of the Act for General Revision of the Copyright Law (17 U.S.C. § 111), and the Regulations of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (37 C.F.R. Part 302), the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) hereby submits the following claim on behalf of itself, the Boston College Athletic Association, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167 (BCAA), and the University of Kentucky Athletics Association, Memorial Coliseum, Lexington, Kentucky 40506, for cable royalty fees arising from the secondary transmissions embodying performances or displays of NCAA-, BCAA-, or University of Kentucky-copyrighted works during the period July 1 through December 31, 1978, inclusive.

1. Full Legal Name of Claimant:

The National Collegiate Athletic Association [on its own behalf and on behalf of Boston College Athletic Association and the University of Kentucky Athletics Association]

- 2. Full Address of Claimant:
 - U. S. Highway 50 and Nall Avenue P. O. Box 1906
 Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222
- 3. General Statement of the Nature of the Copyrighted Works Whose Secondary Transmission Provides the Basis of the Claim:

Motion pictures and other audiovisual works as defined in 17 U.S.C. § 101.

4. Identification of At Least One Secondary Transmission Establishing a Basis For The Claim:

On October 14 and December 6, 1978, primary transmissions were made on a non-network basis embodying performances or displays of the following two BCAA-copyrighted works:

October 14, 1978 - Football Game with Tulane University

December 6, 1978 - Basketball Game with Providence College

On September 16, 1978 primary transmissions were made on a non-network basis embodying a performance of the following University of Kentucky copyrighted work:

September 16, 1978 - Football Game with the University of South Carolina

These works were produced by authority, respectively, of BCAA and the University of Kentucky, and all actions required by the Copyright Law to be taken to secure copyright in these broadcasts were taken. The primary transmissions of the BCAA copyrighted games were made over WLVI, UHF Channel 56, Cambridge, Massachusetts. The primary transmission of the University of Kentucky game was made over WKYT, Lexington, Kentucky.

NCAA and BCAA have determined from official Federal Communications Commission records that the cable systems listed in Attachment A had authority to make secondary transmissions of the above-described telecasts of BCAA works beyond the local service area of the primary transmitters, as those terms are defined in Section lll(f) of the Copyright Law. NCAA and BCAA have also determined that Warner Cable Corporation of Berlin, N.H. has indicated in its Statement of Account filed with the Register of Copyright that it regularly carries the signal of WLVI presumably including these telecasts.

NCAA and the University of Kentucky have determined from official Federal Communications Commission records that the cable systems listed in Attachment B had authority to make secondary transmissions of the above-described telecast of the University of Kentucky work beyond the local service area of the

primary transmitter, as those terms are defined in Section
111(f) of the Copyright Law. They have also been advised that
Tower Cablevision Inc. of Ashland, Kentucky regularly carries
the signal of WKYT, presumably including this telecast.

The above statements are submitted solely in response to the requirement for identification of an illustrative secondary transmission establishing a basis for this claim. They are not intended, and should not be understood, to be a complete or final listing of qualifying secondary transmissions of NCAA, BCAA, or University of Kentucky works.

5. Estimated Compulsory License Fees

\$ 200.62 BCAA works
1,228.27 University of Kentucky works
\$1,428.89 Total

6. Justification of Estimated License Fees

The estimates set forth above were calculated by first determining, as to each of the telecasts, which, of the cable systems shown on the most recent FCC TV Station Authorization Report for the primary transmitter concerned had authority to carry that station's signals on a permissive basis, and then computing the total number of subscribers listed for those systems -- 183,498 in the case of WLVI and 51,833 in the case of WKYT. These potential distant cable audiences for the broadcasts concerned were the equivalent of 10.031 percent of the WLVI broadcast market and 24.57 percent of the WKYT market respectively. Accordingly, these percentages were applied to the fees paid for the broadcast rights to the events concerned, yielding reasonable, market-based cable license fees. While NCAA, BCAA and the University of Kentucky believe that this methodology is the appropriate way to determine the fee in this instance, they reserve the right to use other methods of computing copyright royalty fees in other cases, as may appear appropriate in the circumstances.

NCAA was authorized to file this claim on behalf of BCAA by a letter dated April 9, 1979 from Mr. William J. Flynn, Director of Athletics of Boston College, and on behalf of the University of Kentucky in a telephone conversation of July 30, 1979 with Mr. Cliff Hagan, its Director of Athletics.

CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 302.8, I hereby certify that the foregoing claim submitted on behalf of the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the Boston College Athletic Association, and the University of Kentucky Athletics Association was hand delivered to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal, 1111 Twentieth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 on July 31, 1979. I further certify that this law firm is authorized to submit this claim on those organizations' behalf.

Ritchie T. Thomas SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY 21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dated: July 31, 1979

CITY OF WASHINGTON)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA) ss:

Before me this day came Ritchie T. Thomas, personally known to me, who, after being duly sworn, affirmed that the statements made in the foregoing Certificate of Delivery are true, correct and complete to the best of his information and belief.

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO before me this 31st day of July, 1979.

Sharon Harris, Novary Public

ATTACHMENT A

Cable Systems Authorized to Carry WLVI, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Beyond the Signal Area of Its Transmitter

```
EGSTORIS CONTECTION COME TOLEMSION INC
CONTEMN CONTECTION CAME TELEVISION INC
CONTENN CONTECTION COME
CONTENN FORMICH COME
CONTENN FORMICH COME
CONTENN FORMICH COME
CONTENN FORMICH COME
SYSTEMS CAME TV INC
SYSTEMS CAME TV INC
SYSTEMS CAME TO INC
SYSTEMS CAME TO INC
                      HONTUILLE
HOTERFORD
KORNICH
                                                                                                                                                                                ממממממממממ
                     LISCON SPRANKLINGS SPRACUE SPRACUE SPRACUE
                       NEH KAVEN
                                                                                                                                                                 CT SYSTEMS CALLS TO LIC

CT STREET CALLS TO LIC

CT COMMUNITY TELEVISION SYSTEMS INC

CT COMMUNITY TELEVISION COMP

CT COMMUNITY TELEVISION INC

CT COMMUNITY TELEVISION COMP

CT
                      HEMEEN
HEST HAVEN
                  PLAINFIELD
ERIDGEPORT
                      STROTEGOR
                  PODDINI SEE
                HILFORD
HELLINGFORD
HEDISON
ESTIT INVEN
ESTIT INVEN
NORTH ERCHFORD
NORTH ERCHFORD
                  GUILFGRD
GROTON
      LEDYGRD
STGHTHGTON
SUCLUSTOWN
CORTH STCHINGTON
                  GRISHOLD
             GRISHOLD
PRESTON
ICH LONDON
EAST HADDAN
HADDAN
OLD LYME
LYME
SALEH
                                                                  ta ka
                YESTIQUTH LEE
       наянісн 🦼
MARDHICK
ORLEANS
AUBURN
LEHISTON
DIXFIELD
MEXICO
MORNAY
PARIS
                                                                                                                                                                                         CAPE CAPLE TO ASSOCIATES
                                                                                                                                                            K.A
       AUGUSTA
FARMINSDALE
       GARDINER
      ANCOURSEL
JAY
LIVERHORE FALLS
LIVERHORE
RUHFORD
RANDOLPH
       FAIRFIELD
```

27	HINSLOH - Marin	KΞ	ESTIER CAPLE TO INC FLIRT COULTING THE TOTAL CORP. YOUR COULT COULTING THE TOTAL CORP. CONTINENTAL CALLSUISION OF CONTINENTAL CALLSUISION OF CAPCO CONTINENTAL CALLSUISION OF CAPCO CALLS THE TOTAL CALLSUISION OF CAPCO CALLS THE TOTAL CALLSUISION OF FURTH CAPLS THE CAPCO CALLS THE TOTAL CAPCO CA	•	· ;-			l.
	ROCKLAND SANGLAD	KΞ	FLIMI COMMUNICATIONS CORP.			74		1
	Shirt.(d	ΪΞ	YOUR COLUE COLO				· .	Г
1	ELIOT :	Ľ.	Continue Controlsion Ca	KEN.	KUNGCHIUE	INC		1
-	SOUTH EERHICK BERNICK TCPSHAM	-만들	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	1	.K3::?::!!£E	_1!:С_		<u>. </u>
	RERIHCK	112	CONTINUENTE COLLEVISION OF	1.27	HAMPIHIES	INC		
• • •	TCPSHEH	¥=	CONTRACTOR TO FURTOU THE	r.iH	HEMPSHIRE	INC		1
÷	TGPSHAN BRUKSHICK KITTERY BIBBEFGRB	ΝĒ	CARCO CALLE TARROLL IN					
	KITTERY	iΞ	CONTINUENTAL CAULEVISION CE	P.70	ROMOTHERS	1310		
4	BIBEEFORD	1:3	_YOUR COULE COUS		1000-201107	1140	. •	1
	BIESTAGES GLD GECHARD BEACH "PORTLAND	1:3	CONTINUITAL COLLEVISION OF	I.EH	Kariasilles	INC		
	PORTLAND	HZ	ENDITE CUBITE CO THE			••••		I
	SOUTH PORTLAND	KE	-PUTLIC CATLE CO INC			·		1.
	HILTON	1	Latina Chare to the					l
٠	SKOHIISGAN TE		FIRE LINE INC					1
•	FROMINGTON	#=	Pre Litte Itt					-
	ANSON	1:3	REE LIVE INC		· • •	٠.		1
	HADISON OHLS HEAD	ĽΞ	EEE LINE INC					1 .
	OHLS HEAD	KΞ	ERE LINE INC FLINE COMPUNICATIONS CORP					1.
	LISCON	KE	CABLEVISION INC		. •			.{:
	REST BATH	ΜE	CASCO CABLE TELEVISION INC				أحالها أتناه	1:-
:	REST DATH THE REST PERU	ĸΞ	CASCO CAZLE TELEVISION INC MEH ENSLAND CAZLEVISION IN CASCO CABLE TELEVISION OF	C				1
		HE	CASCO CABLE TELEVISION OF	DATH	HAIKE INC		: 2:	1.
:	HESTERGEX SCAREGROUPH CORE ELIZABETH	MA STATE	Figh Graffin Courteriston to	i C ,				.I
	SCEREGIOUTH .	11.5	FUNLIC CONTE CO INC				,	1
	Chic ELIZACIH	ri.	FULLIC CALCE CO INC				•	i
•	CAMDEN		PLIES CONSTITUTE CONT					ļ
	ROCKPORT	1.2	PRIMA CONSTITUTE CORP				•	
,• '	YECHCUTH -	112	FIGURE COULD CONTRIBUTE IN	_			•	1
:	CUMESELAND : 17 1	ないないのでは、	ETH RELICITATE OF LEGISTER IN	٠٠ څ				.+
٠	FALKOUTH	2.7	PICT IC COSE ON THE					4
	VASSALBORO	HT	ESTING COLLS TO THE				* *****	. .
	GORRICH	KZ KZ	PUELIC CELEE CO					· † · -
٠.	CLAREMONT LAST LACONIA FINANCE ERLIN	KH	CASCO CABLE TELEVISION OF MENI BUSINESS CASCULTO CASCULTO CASCULTO IN PUBLIC CASCULTO CASCULT			•		1
•	LECONIA	ĸн	' כממשמוזין דע כבתף					ተ .
	EERLIN GOSHON	KH	HORNER COOLE CORP			•		1
٠.	Quitinate .	NH	HORWER COUR COUR				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	CURCOLLA	KH	CONTINUENTAL CALLEDISION OF	KEH	HAMPSHIRE	THE		T
		123	THIS STATE CRITE TO THE				•	1
	NEKPORT	123 123	_ 1811 21:12 U. L2 19 11L			·		· -
•	MANCHESTER	134	INITED COTES OF CENTER HAVE				· · · .	
	PORTEKONTH	EX.	CONTINUED COMMISSION OF		iz liit Verseulee	INC	•	1
	GOFFSTOWN	КX	THE TENT COLLEGE COLCE THE WELL	30.76	Linu million	TVC		+-
	EEDFORD	NH	WHITED COTLE CO OF KEY HOW	PEHIL	i inc			1
	SIMPREE	KH	THER VALLEY TO 1°C					
•	SINISPEE CERTY	КН	CONTROLLAR CATILORS OF	1:2:1	HAMPOHRE	II:C		1
	SOLEM THE	Hill	CONTINGUEUR COLFANISION CE	1,27	KANPELIE	II:C		1.
:	NERCHSTLE - C. HUDGON	KH	CONTINENTAL CALLSVISION GA	_l:"±H	Hanpshire	INC	***	1
	HUDSON	iii.	" MATERIA CLLIENIZIO, CCID III.	ī				
•	HERRIKACK AMIERST	KH KH	HARLER CALLE CORP CONTINUITY TO CORP HARLER CALLE CORP CONTINUITY TO CORP HARLER CALLE CORP CONTINUITY TO CORP HARLER CALLE CORP CONTINUITY CALLE TO HEC THIN STATE CALLE TO HEC THIN STATE CALLE TO HEC THIN STATE CALLE TO RE UNITED CALLE CO CF I TH HOR CONTINUITY TO INC UNITED CALLE CO CF I TH HOR CONTINUITY TO INC CONTINUITY CALLENDISION OF CONTINUITY CALLENDSION OF CONTINUITY CALLENDSION CALLENDSION OF CONTINUITY CALLENDSION CALLENDSION OF CONTINUITY CALLENDSION CALLENDSION OF CONTINUITY CALLENDSION CALLENDSION CALLENDSION CONTINUITY CALLENDS CONT	THE		 ·		1
	DOVER	Ki	CONTINUED COLLEGION OF	1.71	HAVACHTAC			
•	SOMEDSUCTE	協	TOURSELL CONTRIBUTE OF	- 1 1	110.00.1100	سيازان		+-
	PETEREGROUGH HOO'SETT PEHERCKE	154	Deinia Committo III Intellició	1	ameraiëë.	iiic	:	1.
	PETEREORGUGH HOO'ISETT PEHEROKE	L'13	163.73 C 111 122121212.		ξ- π.σ -			
•	PEHEROXE - ASS	1.74	CONTINUES CON SUISION CE	2:70	Hamberton.	1110	• •	1
-	PEHEROKE CONGRET	124	HER ELLE CLASSICAL BRO	-11271	111111-31111-5	1110		į.
	HILFORD	iäi	MILFORD CORLEVISION CORP					٦
	EGSCRIEN -	131	CONTINUENTAL CATLEVISION OF	KEH	HOMPSHIRE	INC		1
	ENFIELD	1:3	THIN STATE CALLS TO INC					. 1 -
	EELMONT	КН	COMMUNITY TO COMP	-			•	1
	KEH MARKET	KH	CADLEVISION SERVICE CO INC				•	.1
		KH	COMMITTY TO COUS					-:-
	buring falls	NY	CLASSIC CRILERYSTERS CORP					.1-
• :		127	CLASSIC CROLESYSTEMS CORP	:				· 2.
	SOUTH GLENS FALLS	NY NY	CLASSIC CETIECYSTERS CORP			• • •-		" <u>t</u> :•
<u>.</u> .	HARRENSBURG	HY	CLASSIC CAGLESYSTEMS CORP	٠.	•	· :		Ŧ.
	•		CONTINUITAL CALLEVISION CF YOURS CONTINUITY TELEVISION UNITED CALLE OF A MEN HAND CONTINUITAL CACLEVISION OF HER ENTLAND CALLEVISION OF HILL FARD CALLEVISION OF THIN STATE CALLEVISION OF THIN STATE CALLEVISION OF THIN STATE CALLEVISION OF COMMUNITY TO CORP CACLEVISION SERVICE CO INC COMMUNITY TO CORP CLASSIC CALLEYSTEMS CORP CLASSIC CALLEYSTEMS CORP CLASSIC CALLESYSTEMS CORP CLASSIC CALLESYSTEMS CORP CLASSIC CALLESYSTEMS CORP			•		1

ATTACHMENT B

```
THE PROPOSED ON THE PROPOSED OF THE PROPOSED O
E STORES CONTY
```

SADATOSA SPRINGS	ΚY	SABATORA COTUR TO CO THE	
ONIEKSINGY	1:1	COLONIAL CALLEVISION INC	Ī
KINGSTURY	KY.	CELENTAL CAPTRISIEN INC	1
FORT EXHATE	ίΥ	COLONIAL CATLEVISION INC	ı
HUDSCII FALLS	177	COLCURAL CATLEVISION INC	
			i
FORT ETHRED ;	NY	COLONIOL CORLEVISION INC	į
CHARLEROI	P.3	CENTRE VITES CORP	ĺ
RESTELLY " "	ΩĪ	KESTELLY CACLE TO THE	
, ברסקותמא	UT	THIN STATE CADLE TO INC	1
ECHOVILLE	UT	ENCO COTO 180	1
STRATTON	UT .	Enilo Caro Inc	
Ennae	UŤ	VERNOUT TELEVISION CORP	
STRATTON HOUNTAIN	UT	ENCO CATU INC	
BIRCH HILL	UT '	GUEO COTO ILIC	
Keathersfield	UT	HARTIER COMP	1
	ÚŤ	THIN STATE CACLE TO INC	į
BARRE TOWN	UT	VERMOUT TELEVISION CORP	
HILLIAMSTOWN	UT	VERHIGHT TELEVISION COSP	١.

;

RECEIVED IT! 3 . m.

BEFORE THE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL WASHINGTON, D.C.

Supplemental Filing of The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Pursuant to § 302.5 of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal Regulations, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) submits this Supplemental Filing to provide additional information regarding its Claim to Cable Royalty Fees for Secondary Transmissions During the Period January 1 through June 30, 1978 filed with the Tribunal on July 26, 1978.

 Estimated Amount of Royalty Fees to which the NCAA believes it is entitled:

\$1,953.90

2. Justification

The estimate set forth above was calculated by first determing, as to each of the telecasts listed in the Claim filed by

the NCAA with the Tribunal on July 26, 1978, which of the cable systems shown on the FCC TV Station Authorization Reports for the broadcast station concerned had authority to carry that station on a permissive basis, and then computing the total number of subscribers listed for those systems. That number was then expressed as a percentage of the total broadcast market for the station, and this percentage was then applied to the royalty fees charged by the NCAA to the broadcaster in each case. The estimate given above is the total of these individual charges. While the NCAA believes that this methodology is the appropriate way to determine the fee in this instance, it reserves the right to use other methods of computing copyright royalty fees in other cases, as may appear appropriate in the circumstances.

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 302.8, I hereby certify that the foregoing claim submitted on behalf of the National Collegiate

Athletic Association was delivered by hand to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal, 1111 Twentieth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 on July 31, 1979. I further certify that this law firm is authorized to submit this claim on those organizations' behalf.

Ritchie T. Thomas SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY

21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dated: July 31, 1979

CITY OF WASHINGTON)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA) ss:

Before me this day came Ritchie T. Thomas, personally known to me, who, after being duly sworn, affirmed that the statements made in the foregoing Certificate of Deposit are true, correct and complete to the best of his information and belief.

SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO before me this 31st day of July, 1979.

Sharon Harris, Notary Public

My Commission Empires March 14, 1980

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY

21 DUPONT CIRCLE, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

TELEPHONE (202) 862-7000 CABLE "COXFIRM" TELEX "CLXB 440003"

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 862-7384

July 7, 1980

Re:

1978 and 1979 Copyright Royalty Fees for Nonnetwork Telecasts of University

Dear

SS EAST BROAD STREET

TOLUMBUS, OHIO 43215

2 SOUTH BISCAYNE BOULEVARD

MIAMI, ELORIDA 33131

Pursuant to our telephone conversation this afternoon, I enclose the following documents:

- a. a draft of an authorization letter authorizing us to file a claim on behalf of

 For 1979 copyright royalty fees;
- b. a blank form for information concerning 1979 nonnetwork telecasts; and
- c. a sample assignment form with respect to 1978 nonnetwork broadcasts.

The authorization letter should be retyped on your stationery, signed and returned to us immediately, along with the information concerning 1979 telecasts. The assignment form does not need to be retyped. You may simply complete the form, sign and date it. Please return the assignment to us with the authorization letter and 1979 information.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance.

Sincerely,

Judith Jurin Semo

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 13th day of August,

1980, copies of the foregoing Motion for a Declaratory

Ruling Concerning Entitlement of NCAA To CATV Royalties,

were served upon parties to this proceeding at the addresses

shown, by first class mail, postage prepaid:

Arthur Scheiner, Esquire
Wilner & Scheiner
1200 New Hampshire Avenue N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20036

Judith Jurin Semo, Esquire *
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles T. Duncan, Esquire Peabody, Rivlin, Lambert & Meyers 1150 Connecticut Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Gordon T. King, Esquire Coudert Brothers 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10017

Jacqueline Weiss, Esquire Public Broadcasting Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza West S.W. Washington, D.C. 20024 Albert F. Ciancimino, Esquire SESAC, Incorporated 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019

Gene A. Bechtel, Esquire Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin & Kahn 1815 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Edward W. Chapin, Esquire Broadcast Music, Inc. 320 West 57th Street New York, New York 10019

Jeffrey D. Southmayd, Esquire Fisher, Wayland, Southmayd & Cooper 1100 Connecticut Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Bernard Korman, Esquire ASCAP One Lincoln Plaza New York, New York 10023

^{*} Served by hand.

Richard Dannay, Esquire Schwab, Goldberg, Price & Dannay 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

James J. Popham, Esquire National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Janice F. Hill, Esquire National Public Radio 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. D.E. Lytle
Corporate Program Services
Canadian Broadcasting Company
Post Office Box 8478
Ottawa, Ontario
CANADA KIG 3J5

Helen Year Bushell
Helen Jean Bushnell